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Abstract

**Background**: Human biological materials from cancer patients are linked directly with public health issues in medical science research as foundational resources so securing “human biological material” is truly important in bio-industry. However, because South Korea’s national R and D project lacks a proper managing system for establishing a national standard for the outputs of certain processes, high-value added human biological material produced by the national R and D project could be lost or neglected. As a result, it is necessary to develop a managing process, which can be started by establishing operating guidelines to handle the output of human biological materials. **Materials and Methods**: The current law and regulations related to submitting research outcome resources was reviewed, and the process of data ‘acquisition’ and data ‘distribution’ from the point of view of big data and health 2.0 was examined in order to arrive at a method for switching paradigms to better utilize human biological materials. **Results**: For the deposit of biological research resources, the original process was modified and a standard process with relative forms was developed. With deposit forms, research information, researchers, and deposit type are submitted. The checklist’s 26 items are provided for publishing. This is a checklist of items that should be addressed in deposit reports. Lastly, XML-based deposit procedure forms were designed and developed to collect data in a structured form, to help researchers distribute their data in an electronic way. **Conclusions**: Through guidelines included with the plan for profit sharing between depositor and user it is possible to manage the material effectively and safely, so high-quality human biological material can be supplied and utilized by researchers from universities, industry and institutes. Furthermore, this will improve national competitiveness by leading to development in the national bio-science industry.
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Introduction

The term biobank has been defined in many ways but the definition adopted here will be an organized collection of human biological material and associated information stored for one or more research purposes. Human biological materials (human biospecimens and related cancer patients) are a vital component of a biobank and support many types of contemporary research like genomic personalized medicine, and translational bioinformatics studies. A biobank is organized to manage these resources throughout process that is conducted from deposit to termination (Watson, 2010; Denny, 2010; Wolf, 2012).

In South Korea, the National Biobank of Korea (NBK) (KBN, 2013) has performed this role of collecting, preserving, and distributing a large number of high quality biospecimens and their related information. NBK has collected and secured various high quality human biospecimens from population-based participants and disease-based participants through the Korea Biobank Project (KBP). Recently the first phase of KBP finished and accomplished its aims to collect human specimens from 500,000 participants with epidemiological and clinical information. Unlike successful securing biospecimens from the KBP, however, securing biospecimens and the outcomes of the national R&D projects of individual researchers has been difficult; as most researchers prefer to collect human biospecimens in their own data repositories. As some national R&D projects are related with certain disease or certain biospecimens, outcome biospecimens from this research cannot be ignored (Yoo, 2005a; 2005b; Lee, 2012).

The major cause of this issue among several other reasons is that current operating guidelines are old fashioned. The NKB’s guidelines have several drawbacks. First, the procedure is too simplified for practical use, as there is only one deposit application form. It confuses
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depositors and results in different responses. Second, the current guidelines do not mention the ownership of biospecimens or whether they resulted from a government funded project, though several laws that relate to this issue have been amended. Third, the entire deposit procedure is performed in a traditional paper-based process. Not only are paper-based processes difficult to efficiently secure, monitor, and measure, but they also are more time-consuming, error-prone, and costly than electronic processes (Ivan, 2009).

To solve those problems, this paper proposes a modified guideline that includes a specified procedure of deposit process with an XML standard based deposit form and a data schema for an electronic data process and communication.

Since 2003, the guidelines for biological resource management have been developed based on the federal law of South Korea. The initial guidelines detailed the required description as well as the receiving confirmation form and standard operating procedures (SOPs), which are detailed written instructions for five representative biospecimens. However, the initial guidelines only covered limited biospecimens, which is problematic.

For a simplified deposit procedure, especially, at least two interactions with four different types of forms such as a submitting deposit form and a receiving deposit permission reply (conforming or rejecting) to share deposit information between a depositor and an administrator in the KBN would be preferable, rather than having only one deposit form.

While revising the guidelines, the issue of collecting rich resources has also been raised. Basically the KBN acquires human resources periodically in cooperation with 13 regional biobanks (Lee, 2012), but rich human samples, the research results from government funded projects, have been overlooked and have not been gathered properly. In addition, the South Korean government established the law of ‘regulation on management of national research and development project, etc.’ (Ministry of Health and Welfare, ICT and Future Planning, 2011) to handle the above limitation.

Materials and Methods

We developed the deposit guideline for a systematic literature review by considering regulations, ownership of national R&D outcomes, biobanks in global status and big data (Hyun, 2013).

Relevant regulations

A biobank is a resource of various biospecimens and clinical data that are collected from national R&D projects, and provide research infrastructure to researchers for vitalizing the bio-medical industry. Several relevant regulations were reviewed in order to look into the sources of national R&D outcomes, and the deposit process that in the relevant regulations.

The purpose of health and medical service technology promotion ACT is to contribute to the development of the health and medical service industry and the promotion of national health by establishing a basic plan for the promotion of health and service technology as well as performing research and development activities (Ministry of Health and Welfare, 2011).

The purpose of ACT on development, management and utilization of biological resources is to ensure the efficient development and systematic management of biological resources that will lead to the sustainable utilization thereof as well as to build infrastructure for the development of biotechnology (Ministry of Health and Welfare, ICT and Future Planning, 2011).

Ownership of national R&D outcomes

The South Korean law and regulations pertaining to the ownership of national R&D outcomes, including the health and medical service technology promotion ACT (Ministry of Health and Welfare, 2011) as well as the regulation on administration of national research and development project, etc (Ministry of Health and Welfare, ICT and Future Planning, 2011) mandate that the ownership of outcomes of national research and development projects is determined for in accordance with the initial contract. However, for the purpose of public interest, it can be owned by the government.

To promulgate the above information, the guidelines must contain a statement that involves specific information of the related law and regulations. The deposit form should contain the type of deposit, whether it is a R&D outcome deposit or a general deposit, and whether the reason for the deposit was a request from a biobank or if it was a voluntary deposit from a donor.

Departmentalization of deposit procedure

Korean law for managing biological resources, known as Act on development, management and utilization of biological resources (Ministry of Health and Welfare, 2011) mandate that the essential procedure of deposit be identified as such. To practical use, we modified the deposit guideline as departmentalizing the deposit procedure and adding relative forms according to other international guidelines. As described below, we incorporated into our deposit procedure with appropriate forms required by the law, as well as those recommended by best practice guidelines for biobanking (National Institutes of Health, 2013).

Biobanks in global status

The EuroBioBank network is the first operating network of biobanks in Europe providing human DNA, cell and tissue samples as a service to the scientific community conducting research on rare diseases. It is the only network dedicated to rare disease research in Europe. About 100,000 samples are available across the network and can be requested via the online catalogue (EuroBioBank, 2014).

UK Biobank is a major national health resource, and a registered charity in its own right, with the aim of improving the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of a wide range of serious and life-threatening illnesses – including cancer, heart diseases, stroke, diabetes, arthritis, osteoporosis, eye disorders, depression and forms of dementia. UK Biobank recruited 500,000 people aged
between 40-69 years in 2006-2010 from across the country to take part in this project (UK Biobank, 2014).

The largest Swedish biobanks are those that are collected during routine medical care. The total number of samples in the biobanks of the Swedish Health Care system is estimated to about 50-100 million human samples, increasing with about 3-4 million samples per year. Another 985 000 new samples are collected each year during the cervical cytology screening (Swedish Biobank, 2014).

International Society of Biological and Environmental Resources (ISBER) is a global organization which creates opportunities for sharing ideas and innovations in biobanking and harmonizes approaches to evolving challenges for biological and environmental repositories. ISBER fosters collaboration, creates education and training opportunities, provides an international showcase for state-of-the-art policies, processes, and research findings, and innovative technologies, products, and services. ISBER will be the leading global forum for promoting harmonized high quality standards, ethical principles, and innovation in the science and management of biorepositories (ISBER, 2014).

Most of biobanks in developed countries such as the UK, Japan, Canada, Sweden, etc. have been managed by the government. A common requirement for those biobanks is to have an association between u-health and big data. This shows they consider sharing biobank data, beyond collecting and storing.

Developed countries such as the United States and the United Kingdom have recognized that the use of public information is directly connected to nationwide service, and have been competitively promoted various open policies. For systematic utilization of public data, government ‘Mash-up’ is needed. In order to achieve this, distribution of data based on a structured model, ‘structured data’ is very important (Datagov, 2014; Data.go.kr, 2014).

**Results**

**Deposit procedure**

For the deposit of biological research resources, it is necessary to have a standard process and forms based on certain regulations. When a project is finished, the project director should be able to donate his or her project outcome. For the registration of a deposit, first of all, he or she fills out a deposit form which should be written about the deposit type and R&D information such as project name, serial number, and project performing period, and information pertaining to the project director and participating researchers. Then, the biological research resources and their data should be submitted with a human biospecimens list form and a human bio-data summary form. Secondly, based on the submitted documents and resources, there would be two examinations as to whether it is proper to be deposited. According to whether or not this is granted, the result form is transmitting to a donor. If the result is success, each biological research resource is then granted a unique deposit number and preserved in the standard format.

**Modified deposit form**

There are many reports outlining the complexity of biobanking that provide strong recommendations and the identification of best practice for all aspects of the process (ISBER, 2012). Figure 2 outlines the steps involved in biobanks. As the requirements for complex multi-institutional and international collections to study disease processes have been established, this article focuses on some of the important practical and ethical issues related to the integration of biobanks.

The steps involved in biobanks are a continuum (Watson, 2010), starting with participant consent, leading to the collection, processing, storage and distribution of samples. The process finishes with the publishing of data.
and informing participants of how their samples have been used, which then supports the continuation of recruitment to biobanks.

We now explain the 26 items in the checklist (Table 1), and give published examples for each item. Some examples have been edited by removing citations or spelling out abbreviations. We advise researchers to address all items somewhere in their paper or project, but we do not prescribe a precise location or order. For instance, we discuss the reporting of results under a number of separate items, while recognizing that researchers might address several items within a single section of text or in a table.

![Figure 2. Steps Involved in Establishing a Biobank](image)

**Table 1. Order Form of Human Biological Material - Checklist of Items that Should be Addressed in Reports of Deposit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Item number</th>
<th>Recommendation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORDER FORM of HUMAN-DERIVED MATERIAL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Indicate the ANNEX’s type with a commonly used term in the title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESEARCH INFORMATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D Project ID</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Describe the unique number of Research and Development project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Department ID</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Describe the unique number of government agency including department</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Department Name</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Describe the name of government agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D Project Name</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Describe the name of Research and Development project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Title</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Describe the research title with a commonly used term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Investigator(PI)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Describe the name of primary investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Institutions</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Describe the name of institutions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Period</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Describe the stage of research divided by foundation, practical application, development, and etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Stage</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Indicate the stage of research divided by foundation, practical application, development, and etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPLICANT INFORMATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Investigator Name</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Describe the name of primary investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Investigator Position</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Describe the position of primary investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Investigator TEL.</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Describe the Telephone number of primary investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Investigator FAX</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Describe the FAX number of primary investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Investigator email</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Describe the email address of primary investigator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers Name</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Describe the name of participate researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTENTS OF REGISTRATION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Report</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Indicate the number of original report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Indicate the number of paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patent</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Indicate the number of patent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Material Info.</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Indicate the number of biological material Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical report</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Indicate the number of technical report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Software</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Indicate the number of software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Hardware</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Indicate the number of research hardware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONTENTS OF DEPOSIT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Material</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Indicate the number of biological material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Components</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Indicate the number of components</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**XML standard based deposit form**

XML data model is one of the reasonable and well represented data model among various data models for electronic representation (University of Essex, 2009). Among entire deposit procedure, we distinguished some phases that donor handle data such as submission phase and result notice phase that including contents whether deposit has been permitted. And we extracted data items from selected phases to construct XML data model. XML based deposit procedure data model consists of three components including deposit basic information, biospecimens information in submission phase, and permission information in result notice phase. Deposit basic information part in submission phase have information of researcher, organization, project, deposit type, and deposit date. For information of researcher and organization allow to be plural as research can be a collaborative project and researcher represents all participant researchers.

Biospecimen information part in submission phase has 12 data items including name, volume, volume unit, biospecimens class, and etc. Particularly, biospecimens class is classified with 5 classes; 1’B’ for blood or blood derivation, 2’C’ for human cell line, 3’F’ for body fluid or substance, 4’G’ for genomic, and 5’T’ for tissue according to NIH classification criteria (NCI, 2011).

Permission information in result notice phase includes permission result, detail reason for approval, notice date, and etc. Permission result can be selected among four results; 1’appro’ for approval, 2’condi’ for conditional approval, 3’disappro’ for disapproval, and 4’re’ for...
Discussion

Securing and utilizing biomedical research resources ensures the future growth of the life science and healthcare industries. The South Korean government is interested in maximizing the return on public investment in biomedical research, especially including human biological materials in cancer patients. The lack of proper infrastructures and guidelines to capture and manage research data for re-use and re-analysis has become an issue. This paper presents guidelines for managing e.g. capturing, storing, and distributing human biological material resources, the outcome of the national R&D project in deposit and registration.

Under the South Korean regulations “Governmental Regulations on Capturing and Managing of Biological Research Resources” established recently with South Korean government-led efforts and some original regulations and rules in the KCDC (Korea Center for Disease Control & Prevention). Each resource center and research director of a national R&D project can utilize the guidelines to manage human biological material resources, the outcome of the national R&D project in deposit and registration.

Structured guidelines for the deposit and registration of human biological materials in cancer patients were developed which are specifically national R&D project outcomes. These aim at helping donors, research directors, to comprehend deposit policy easily. They include comprehensive explanations about the policy of depositing of human biological materials including the R&D outcome, the object of deposit, the deposit standard, the deposit process, and the relevant regulations.

Despite this policy, depositing is in further need of revitalization. It is important to attract voluntary deposits from research directors by changing their perception of sharing their research outcomes. It is important that effective and safe preservation of the high value-added HBRs be developed by national R&D projects with systematic management in the long-term, so that useful and applicable resources can be distributed to many researchers. This will, in turn, induce them to develop other high quality resources which are the core of biological industry.

Also, this paper attempts to solve the issue of paper-based distribution through constructing a structured deposit form and XML based deposit procedure forms. The biggest problem of a paper based system is high maintenance costs, redundant writing, data error, and so on. With this XML based data model based on the revised and structured deposit procedure forms, researchers can share their data freely.

Those data that have been collected and will be deployed in the future will be big data through communication among researchers, and will be able to perform as public data. With high growth these public data, the government wishes to distribute and utilize those data. According to the government plan to switch paradigms from data collection to data utilization, the introduction of the concept of Health 2.0 is proposed here.

Health 2.0 is a new market based on government data. The discussion of health 2.0 has been held in health camp. The keywords in health 2.0 are consumer centered healthcare and web 2.0 communities. The basic idea of health 2.0 originated from combining some philosophies and technologies from web 2.0 with healthcare products (Hughes, 2008). Health 2.0 is participatory healthcare.
Enabled by information, software, and community that we collect or create, we the patients can be effective partners in our own healthcare, and we the people can participate in reshaping the health system itself.

The main concept is that health 2.0 is participatory healthcare. User participating productions like Search, Tools and social network systems (SNS) are playing important roles in health 2.0. Unlike search engine like ‘Naver’ or ‘Google’ that have been used in the past, advanced search engines like ‘Pubmed’ or ‘Healthline’ are more likely to be used. With vertical results, these engines provide in-depth possible causes of symptoms by simply typing a symptom into the engines.

In this research, a structured deposit form was developed to implement government data which could be collected during the management of resource allocations, registration, and distribution according to National R&D outcomes. These guidelines result in following effects: existing contents about human biological materials easily accessed via 'Search', new types of information expressed and used via ‘Tools’, and new contents produced via ‘SNS’. This will be the first step for the utilization of human biological materials.
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